

Clerk: Helena Fox  
1 Ffordd Penuel  
Pentyrch  
Caerdydd CF15 9LJ  
  
Ffon 029 2089 1417  
Epost: clerk@pentyrch.cc  
Gwefan: www.pentyrch.cc

**PENTYRCH COMMUNITY COUNCIL**



**CYNGOR CYMUNED PENTYRCH**

Clerk: Helena Fox  
1 Penuel Road  
Pentyrch  
Cardiff CF15 9LJ  
  
Phone 029 2089 1417  
Email: clerk@pentyrch.cc  
Website: www.pentyrch.cc

## **Minutes of the Planning Committee held at noon, 28 November 2018 at 1 Penuel Road, Pentyrch**

**Present:** Cllrs Mike Sherwood, Chris Priday, Stuart Thomas, Sandie Rosser  
Cllr Frances Lewis, St Fagans Community Council

In attendance : Helena Fox, Clerk

1. To receive apologies for absence: Simon Davies
2. To receive any declarations of interest: There were none.
3. To consider and decide on responses to planning applications
4. It was noted that applications received just before the meeting started had a response deadline of 10 days. This is too little time for community councils to respond particularly when an application has a big collection of lengthy documents and/or when it requires comparison with an earlier application. Both Cllrs Graham Thomas and Gavin Hill-John will be told about this.

### Outside the PCC Area

**18/01184/MJR** APPLICATION FOR THE APPROVAL OF RESERVED MATTERS (APPEARANCE, SCALE, LAYOUT, LANDSCAPING AND NON-STRATEGIC ACCESS) FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF 71 DWELLINGS FORMING PART 1 OF PHASE 2A PURSUANT TO OUTLINE PERMISSION 14/02157/MJR PART 1 OF PHASE 2A, SOUTH OF LLANTRISANT ROAD, NORTH WEST CARDIFF: This discharge of conditions pack now contains the responses in respect of the concerns raised by statutory consultees. It is of interest that a letter from PCC is mis-filed as being supportive when it seeks to support an objection raised by St Fagans CC. It is worthy of note that the representation from St Fagans is not in the document bundle so far accumulated and no response to their concerns is shown in the amended plans submitted. The covering letter notes that the concerns of several CCC departments were notified to Redrow along with police concerns. All of these concerns are addressed.

It was **RESOLVED** that the Clerk notify Cardiff of the mis-filing and ask for it to be corrected immediately.

**18/01984/MJR** DISCHARGE OF CONDITION 10 (RESIDENTIAL TRAVEL PLAN) OF 14/02188/MJR LAND SOUTH OF PENTREBANE ROAD, CARDIFF: This is the travel plan for the Pentrebane parcel of the Redrow development. St Fagans CC have already written a long letter of objection which is in the online files, dated 20 Nov 2018. This is the "final" plan submitted by Vectos and despite the fact it claims to be final it is unsigned by either the author or those required by the Vectos process to approve it. As usual, Vectos assume that commuters can catch the already overcrowded Valley Line trains when they arrive at Fairwater and that it is practical to cycle to Radyr to join the Taff Trail as part of a cycle commute. Vectos seem to acknowledge that a 50:50 modal split for this site is unachievable despite (a) being asked to amend their plan to state that it is. (b) admitting that the bus frequency is very good already.

It was **RESOLVED** to comment that PCC supports all the valid concerns raised by St Fagans Community Council and to draw attention to the lack of signature on the Plan and that it should be up to Cardiff, not the developers, to decide whether the Plan is final, or not.

**18/01364/MJR** DISCHARGE OF CONDITION 26 (TRAVEL PLAN) OF 16/00106/MJR GOITRE FACH FARM, LLANTRISANT ROAD, ST FAGANS, CARDIFF, CF5 6JD: This is further comments on the travel plan for the Barratt development. The objections of Llandaff Society and the NWCG are

published. Barratt have proposed some minor changes to the wording of their plan. PCC's comments are not shown online despite being a member of NWCG. NWCG supported PCC's comments but those comments are not available for the public to read.

There was a long discussion about the inconsistent way that community council submissions are treated by Cardiff. Cardiff has told PCC that community council comments, as consultees, are treated as private until included in the committee report, when they are put in the public domain.

but there are examples of community council comments online. If a submission is referred to it makes no sense for the public to be unable to read it. All community council Minutes are public documents and the public can read planning representations in them, but not on Cardiff's website.

It was **RESOLVED** to write to James Clemence, Head of Planning to ask for an explanation of the inconsistent way community council submissions are treated. There needs to be a clear process during which all community council submissions are treated the same and made public. As elected bodies, community councils' residents should be able to see how they are being represented in planning matters. The letter will be copied to Paul Orders, Davina Fiore and county councillors.

**5. Date of the next meeting:** Wednesday December 12 2018